Application No. Applicant(s) 09/032,863 GRIGOR ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin M. Nguyen 2674 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Kevin M. Nguyen. (3)____ (2) Tim Bechen. (4)____. Date of Interview: 15 November 2001. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 24,29-33 and 38-55. Identification of prior art discussed: Kou, Zenda and Caine . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative points out "as to claims 42-48, refer to previous rejections as applied to claims 24, 29-32, 33 and 38-41." at page 5 in the last Final Office action. Applicant will sent a formal amendment. Examiner will reconsider (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)

0

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.